UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/086,490 | 02/28/2002 | Patrick McMorris | 003399.P088 | 2160 |
| 26529 7590 12/12/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN/PDC 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | JACOBS, LASHONDA T | |
| SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040 | | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2157 | • |
| | | | | , |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

D

| | 1 4 1 4 1 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/086,490 | MCMORRIS ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | LaShonda T. Jacobs | 2157 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the logical of the period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on | of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expire |), which is after the expiration of the ed on | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fit Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | iled Notice of Appeal (with appea | | | | | |
| c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🖾 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | L-85). was received on (with a | . Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| | The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | s not been received. | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as real Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | • | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | (////// 0 0 0 /////// 0 0 | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record, | the assignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a | a representative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of | | because the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | .· | | | | | |
| | - <i>L</i> | Lashmda Jacobs | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with minimize any negative effects on patent term. | ndraw the holding of abandonment u | nder 37 CFR 1,181, should be promptly filed to | | | | |